

**Minutes of  
34<sup>th</sup> meeting of the BOM of  
PEC University of Technology,  
held on 09.12.2011**

**Minutes of the 34<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Board of Management of PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh, held on 09.12.2011 at 3.30 P.M. in the Conference Hall of PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh.**

**The following members attended the meeting:**

1. Sh. Vijoy Kumar Singh, Secretary Technical Education (Co-Chairperson)
2. Prof. Manoj Datta, Director, PEC Univ. of Technology (Co-Chairperson)
3. Sh. Vijoy Kumar Singh, Finance Secretary, Chandigarh Administration
4. Prof. Ashwani Kumar, Registrar, PEC Univ. of Technology
5. Prof. (Ms.) Uma Batra
6. Prof. Sanjeev Sofat
7. Prof. A M Kalra
8. Dr. Amit Halder

**In attendance (Permanent Invitee):**

1. Prof. Parveen Kalra

**Under Leave of Absence:**

1. Sh. S S Khara, Joint Secretary, Technical Education

**In attendance (Special Invitees):**

1. Sh. Roshan Lal
2. Sh. G Mehta

Agenda was taken up thereafter:

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Decision</b>
34.1	To confirm the minutes of 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Board of Management held on 15.11.2011.	Confirmed.
34.2	Items for information.	Noted.
34.3	Qualification of Faculty for Direct Recruitment /CAS.	Recommendations of the committee for direct recruitment / CAS of faculty (Annexure 34.3.1) were approved with the amendment that CAS upto 7.10.2009 (the date of notification of revised pay scales by MHRD) may be done as per existing practice and thereafter new guidelines based on UGC norms may be followed.

34.4	Adoption by PEC of UGC gazette 2010 for Deemed Universities and MoA of PEC Society.	It was decided that efforts be made to find out the status in all govt. funded Deemed Universities as to whether they have adopted the UGC gazette 2010. A report regarding the same may be placed before the Board in its future meeting.
34.5	CAS-2009 Status Report.	The Status of CAS-2009 was noted and approved.  It was further decided that process may be started to implement CAS upto 2011, as per the decision under item No. 34.3
34.6	Allowing interest on GPF accumulation made during 2010-11.	The Board approved allowing interest @ 8% p.a. on GPF balances of employees for the financial year 2010-11.
34.7	i) Funds position in CPS Bank Account, interest to be allowed on Contributory Pension Scheme (CPS) funds for the period 2010-11;  ii) Switch over to New Pension System as per guidelines of the Chandigarh Administration.	It was approved that PEC may switch over to the New Pension System of Govt. of India w.e.f. 1.4.2011 and interest @ 8% p.a. may be allowed on CPS balances of employees for the financial year 2010-2011.
34.8	Financial Statement of the accounts of the PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh.	Financial Statement of the Accounts of PEC for the year ending 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2011 was approved.
34.9	Organizational Structure of TEQIP-II unit for execution of TEQIP-II at PEC University of Technology.	The broad organizational structure (Annexure 34.9.3) for implementation of TEQIP-II at PEC University of Technology was approved.

34.10	Academic expansion at PEC University of Technology.	<p>The plan of Academic expansion of PEC University of Technology was approved in principle. It was further decided that intake in the various disciplines at the under graduate level may be revisited and number of seats in relevant branches may be increased to make optimum use of resources.</p> <p>Proposal for additional faculty and staff positions required for expansion may be put up to the Board of Management for approval and further transmission to Chandigarh Administration for creation of posts.</p> <p>Implementation of Dual Degree programme be undertaken after looking into the need of such programmes.</p>
34.11	To consider and approve the revised estimate for Extension of Shivalik Hostel.	Revised estimate of Rs. 2.81 crores for extension of Shivalik Hostel was approved.
34.12	To consider & approve the revised estimate for New Academic Block.	Revised estimate of Rs. 9.11 crores for the new Academic Block was approved.
34.13	Progress of Extension of Shivalik Hostel and New Academic Block.	The progress in respect of new Academic Block and Shivalik Hostel was noted. It was decided that the drawings may be submitted to the Chief Architect, Chandigarh Administration for further necessary action.

34.14	Deputy Director and Deans as permanent invitees to Board meetings.	It was approved that the Deputy Director and the Deans, who are not members of the Board of Management, may be permanent invitees to all Board meetings.
34.15	Clarification on “Appointing Authority” and “Appellate Authority” in terms of PEC Board of Governors’ decision relating to item 28.3(b) in the 28 <sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12.05.2011.	<p>The proposal (Annexure 34.15) regarding Clarification on “Appointing Authority” and “Appellate Authority” for various posts in PEC University of Technology was approved.</p> <p>This may be communicated to Chandigarh Administration in response to their letter no. 11/3/41-IH(10)-2011/9836 dated 11/11/2011.</p>
34.16	Operational Methodology Under Interim Board of Management.	Operational Methodology as proposed (Annexure 34.16.1) was approved.
34.17	Chairman (Estate & Works).	It was decided that the position of Chairman Estate & Works may be considered equivalent to that of a Dean as far as the powers (Administrative & Financial) and facilities are concerned.
34.18	Revision of scholarship for Ph.D. students.	<p>In principle approval was accorded to the revision of scholarship for regular Ph.D. students from the existing Rs. 14,000 per month to Rs. 18,000 per month for the first two years and Rs. 20,000 per month in the next two years.</p> <p>A financial calculation indicating the additional grant required for this</p>

		purpose may be sent to the Chandigarh Administration, and the enhancement in scholarship should be implemented after receiving approval from the Chandigarh Administration.
34.19	An alumnus of PEC as member of the Board.	It was decided that Sh. Pawan Aggarwal may be requested to give his consent to be a member of the BoM. Otherwise, CVs of the proposed three Alumni may be placed before the Board in its next meeting for taking a decision.
34.20	Any Other item:  Adoption of concept note on utilization of PEC resources for consultancy projects of PEC-CCE.	The concept note on utilization of PEC resources for consultancy projects of PEC-CCE (Annexure 34.20.1) was approved in principle. Implementation of this procedure will be reviewed at the end of each Financial Year to fine tune it further to achieve optimum balance between the institution and the staff working on projects.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

## Annexure 34.15

### Clarification on “Appointing Authority” and “Appellate Authority” in terms of PEC Board of Governors’ decision relating to item 28.3(b) in the 28<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12.05.2011.

Reference letter No. 11/3/41-IH(10)-2011/9836 dated 11.11.2011 from Chandigarh Administration, on the subject cited above (Annexure 34.15.1).

The above quoted letter has been received in response to the clarification sought on the direction of Hon’ble National Commission for Schedule Castes. This letter corroborates the clause 12 of MoA regarding appointing authority.

The Chandigarh Administration has desired that the Appellate authority should be formally defined for each group of employees.

The following proposal is submitted in this regard:

Sr. No.	Posts	Appointing Authority	Appellate Authority
1.	Group “A” officers: Professors & Registrar.	Board (with powers for maintaining discipline delegated to Director).	Board
2.	Group “A”: other than Professors & Registrar.	Director	Board
3.	Group “B”	Director	Board
4.	Group “C”	Registrar	Director
5.	Group “D”	Registrar	Director

As per clauses 11 & 12 of the MoA, the appointing authority for the posts of Registrar & Professors is the Board. However, as per clause 9(B)(8), the powers for discipline are vested with the Director. Hence, it is proposed that Board can delegate its powers for maintaining discipline to the Director; the Board can then be the Appellate Authority for the disciplinary cases. It is further mentioned that as far as ACR’s are concerned, the Board has already decided that the Director will be the accepting officer for all categories of employees.