

**Subject: Minutes of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Board of Governor (BoG) of PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh.**

**A Brief of meeting and participants:**

<b>Date</b>	30.01.2016 (Saturday)			
<b>Time</b>	11:00 A.M.			
<b>Venue</b>	Conference Room, II Floor, Administrative Block, PEC Campus, Sector-12, Chandigarh.			
<b>In chair</b>	Sh. Som Mittal			
<b>List of Participants</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Name (Sh./ Mr./ Dr./ Prof.)</b>	<b>Constituency as per MOA</b>	<b>Designation in BOG</b>
	1	Arun Kumar Grover	Vice Chancellor	Member
	2	Sanjeev Aggarwal	Founder & Senior Managing Director, Helion Ventures	Member
	3	N. Sathyamurthy	Director IISER, Mohali	Member
	4	Rajesh Khanna	Nominee of IIT Delhi	Member
	5	Manoj K. Arora	Director PEC	Member
	6	Sanjeev Sofat	Faculty, PEC	Member
<b>Leave of absence accorded</b>	7	Manish Jindal	Registrar, PEC	Member
	1	Sarvjit Singh, IAS	Finance Secretary	Member
	2	Vikram Dev Dutt	Secretary Technical Education	Member
	3	Capt. Karnail Singh	Joint Secretary Technical Education	Member
	4	R. K. Sinha	Nominee of Director CSIO	Member
	5	Nominee	Department of Secondary Education, MHRD	Member
	6	A.V. Dharma Reddy, IAS	Nominee of Ministry of Home Affairs	Member
	7	Nominee	Chairman UGC	Member
	8	Navdeep Kaur	Chairman CII Northern Region	Member
	9	Atul Sachdeva	Director Principal, GMCH-32, Chandigarh	Member
	10	Pawan Agarwal, IAS	Joint Secretary, Ministry of Skill Development	Member
	11	Siby John	Faculty, PEC	Member
12	A.K. Taneja		Member (He came to Chandigarh but could not attend as he was unwell).	

**b. The brief of proceeding is as follows:**

Item No.	Item	Decision
49.1	Approval of Draft Minutes of 48 <sup>th</sup> Meeting	Approved
49.2	Action taken report on decisions of 48 <sup>th</sup> Meeting	Noted.
49.3	Presentation by HOD's, Deans & Director	<p><b>Following observations were made:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Regarding publications of research papers in journals, focus must be laid on SCI publications. <b>(Action: HOD)</b></li> <li>2.Only few of the faculty members are actively engaged in research and are having publications &amp; projects. The ambit of faculty participation is to be expanded. <b>(Action: HOD)</b></li> <li>3.Laboratory upgradation is overdue. All faculty members are required to submit their specific requirement for laboratory upgradation. <b>(Action: HOD)</b></li> <li>4.The students joining PEC are of high quality. Quality of passing out students need to be evaluated. Track record of passing out students need to be made. <b>(Action: TPO)</b></li> <li>5.In Applied Sciences Department, networking with nearby institute faculty is required. Few of UG courses may be taken by faculty of IISER, Panjab University, IMTECH, etc. as guest faculty or visiting faculty. Similarly, the faculty of Applied Science department of PEC must be involved in teaching of PG courses in these institutions. The use of ICT to deliver lectures/interaction across institutions via NKN may also be explored. <b>(Action: HCC)</b></li> <li>6.Upgradation of sports facility may be prioritized. The proposed flood lighting &amp; renovation of sports facilities may make a visible impact to the civil society.</li> <li>7.Vice Chancellor, P.U. &amp; Director, IISER were requested to offer the library/</li> </ol>

		learning resources for faculty & students of PEC. Both readily agreed and it was decided that formal request may be made. <b>(Action: Director/ Registrar)</b>																				
49.4	Amendment in Sponsored Project/ Consultancy norms & guidelines	After detailed deliberations, Prof. Rajesh Khanna, IIT Delhi, was requested to vet the proposal in consultation with Prof. Manoj K. Arora and/ or other faculty members/ BOG members. The vetted proposal shall then be forwarded to Chairman, BOG for its approval. <b>(Action: DSRIC)</b>																				
49.5	Restructuring of Non Teaching Staff	<p>Approved as proposed. It was informed to the house that at present 244 positions are filled on regular basis while in the restructuring proposal there is a provision for 271 positions (see table below). The Board approved the initiation of the process of filling 27 positions as per restructuring proposal.</p> <p>Similarly, vacancy arising out of superannuation/resignation/ termination etc. may also be filled accordingly. A summary of pertinent information is given in the following table.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="824 1104 1503 1549"> <tr> <td>Present Sanctioned Strength Group C &amp; D (254 + 145)</td> <td>399</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Filled (153 +91)</td> <td>244</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>New Proposal</b></td> <td><b>271</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Gap (271-244)</b></td> <td><b>27</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Retirement in the year:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2016 = 11</td> <td>41</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2017 = 06</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2018 = 06</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2019 = 08</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020 = 10</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Regarding mapping of existing employees, it was observed that it is purely an administrative action and accordingly the Director may take a view.</p> <p>It was also informed that the services and not the manpower for the services will be outsourced. <b>(Action: Registrar/ Estate)</b></p>	Present Sanctioned Strength Group C & D (254 + 145)	399	Filled (153 +91)	244	<b>New Proposal</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>Gap (271-244)</b>	<b>27</b>	Retirement in the year:		2016 = 11	41	2017 = 06		2018 = 06		2019 = 08		2020 = 10	
Present Sanctioned Strength Group C & D (254 + 145)	399																					
Filled (153 +91)	244																					
<b>New Proposal</b>	<b>271</b>																					
<b>Gap (271-244)</b>	<b>27</b>																					
Retirement in the year:																						
2016 = 11	41																					
2017 = 06																						
2018 = 06																						
2019 = 08																						
2020 = 10																						
49.6	Women Member on	It was decided that two women members may be invited on the Board. They may																				

	Governing Body	belong to the area of expertise which are presently unrepresented in the Board. One of the women invitee may belong to SC/ST/ OBC category. Both women members must be from outside the PEC system. <b>(Action: Director/ Registrar)</b>
49.7	Empanelment of Experts	Approved as proposed. It was agreed to include faculty at the level of Professor from IISER also. <b>(Action: Director/ DFA)</b>
49.8	Outcome of Sculpture & Painting camp	The outcome of the camp was appreciated. Approved as proposed.
49.9	Proposal for B.E. Programme in Aviation Technology	<p>The issue was deliberated at great length. <b>Issue raised by members are as detailed:</b></p> <p>1. <b>Exit Policy:</b> In case a candidate other than for medical reasons intends to leave the programme midway then provisions for him for academic mobility needs to be provided for.</p> <p><b>The options discussed were:</b></p> <p>a) May be allowed to join 6<sup>th</sup> semester, as being offered to medically unfit students.</p> <p>b) Another option was to consider award of Diploma/ Certificate on completion of 5 semesters.</p> <p>For this eventuality, the course curriculum needs to be framed in a manner to include industry requisite skill(s) &amp; knowledge domain which is marketable.</p> <p>2. <b>Difference in admission criteria for students joining PEC for other programmes vis-à-vis proposed program.</b> It was informed to the house that Pilot Aptitude Test with medical fitness standards is an essential requirement for this programme. Hence, the criteria being followed for other programmes may not be applicable for the candidates to be considered for this program. It was also noted that as such the conduct of different selection process for a programme is not much of the issue.</p> <p>3. <b>Whether it falls in the core domain of PEC:</b> One of the observed views was that the domain of PEC is to offer programs</p>

		<p>in the area of Engineering &amp; Technology and not the pilot training. Another view was that the top universities in the area of Aeronautics/Aerospace/Aviation offers programs covering all dimensions of the field. PEC is one of the known global names in the area of Aerospace Engineering and has the potential to expand the domain.</p> <p>4. <b>Cost of FFL:</b> The fee for the 18 months duration at FFL and other charges are on a higher side. It was informed that even IGRUA, Rai Bareilly charges Rs. 40.0 lacs while the contents/no. of hours of FFL are superior.</p> <p>5. <b>Financial commitment towards FFL:</b> It was observed that PEC should not have any financial commitment towards FFL. The students should deposit the FFL component of fee directly to FFL. There should not be any commitment towards minimum number of students to be sent to FFL for 18 months training/ course or otherwise.</p> <p>6. <b>Partnership with Airlines:</b> It was observed that to have a larger acceptability &amp; enlarged employment opportunities, and also to provide Practical environment, partnership may also be made with operating airlines.</p> <p>7. <b>General Market Scenario:</b> It was agreed that Airlines Industry in India is likely to grow manifolds and there is need for this kind of trained manpower. However, the Board opined that based on above observations, a wider deliberations at institute level with core inter-disciplinary faculty group may be done and the proposal amended accordingly for re-consideration of the board. <b>(Action: Head, Aerospace)</b></p>
49.10	Campus Wireless Network	Ratified. Further, the NICSI, a Government of India undertaking was designated as approved source for assigned work/

		services offered/ available with them. <b>(Action: HCC)</b>
49.11	Detailed Project Report for Sports Complex	It was decided that proposal may be got vetted from IISER & the recommendations may be got approved from Chairman (BOG). The set of activities may also be prioritized. <b>(Action: Estate/ Registrar)</b>
49.12	Expansion of Hostel facilities	Approved as proposed. Board also observed that a proper plan linking each item with financial requirement and its source may also be prepared, in case funding is not made available by Chandigarh Administration. <b>(Action: Estate/ Registrar/ AC (F&amp;A))</b>
49.13	Rolling advertisement for faculty positions in PEC University of Technology	Approved as proposed. <b>(Action: DFA)</b>
49.14	Proposal submitted under RUSA & TEQIP	Noted.
49.15	Establishing of Centre of Excellence in collaboration with Siemens – update	Board conveyed its gratitude to Sh. A.K. Taneja & his team comprising of Sh. Rakesh Anand & Sh. Manoj Khanna for sparing their valuable time in looking at the feasibility of the proposal. Based on their interactions, the proposal has been revised vis-à-vis bill of quantities. The financial proposal based on new BOQ/ specifications shall be submitted by Siemens.
49.16	TEQIP – II	Noted. Re-appropriation approved as proposed.
49.17	CSAB/ U.G. Admissions	Noted.
49.18	M.Tech Admissions	Noted.
49.19	CAS Status	Noted. It was again emphasised that as per decision of board the CAS for the rejected cases may be completed on fast track.
49.20	Promotion case of Prof. Neelam Rup Parkash	Noted.
49.21	MOU with TBRL & PEC	Noted.

49.22	Cut imposed in Plan Budget by U.T. Administration	Noted.The UT administration may be requested again to remove the cut imposed on plan grant.
49.23	Engagement of Sh. Sudhendu Bali as visiting Faculty	Noted.
49.24	UGC directs Deemed Universities/ Institutions not to pay sitting fees to Govt. Nominees	Noted and approved as proposed.
49.25	Calander of the BOG Meetings for 2016-17	Noted. Exact dates shall be worked out after discussion with the Hon'ble Chairman BOG.

*Other Observations: It was also recorded that efforts may be made to amend the MOA.*